

P.B.5818 - Patentlaan 2 2280 HV Rijswijk (ZH) +31 70 340 2040 TX 31651 epo nl FAX +31 70 340 3016 Europäisches Patentamt

٦

Zweigstelle in Den Haag Recherchenabteilung European Patent Offic

Branch at The Hague Search division Office européen des brevets

Département à La Haye Division de la recherche

Plas, Axel Alcatel Bell N.V., Francis Wellesplein 1 2018 Antwerpen BELGIQUE

	Datum/Date 06.08.03
_	
Zeichen/Ref./Ref. 120 788 AN	Anmeldung Nr./Application No./Demande n°./Patent Nr./Patent No./Brevet n°. 03290323.9-1237-
Anmelder/Applicant/Demandeur/Patentinhaber/Proprietor/Titul ALCATEL	aire

COMMUNICATION

The European Patent Office herewith transmits as an enclosure the European search report for the above-mentioned European patent application.

If applicable, copies of the documents cited in the European search report are attached.

Additional set(s) of copies of the documents cited in the European search report is (are) enclosed as well.

The following specifications given by the applicant have been approved by the Search Division:

The abstract was modified by the Search Division and the definitive text is attached to this communication.

The following figure will be published together with the abstract:

ALCATEL IPD ANTWERP

-7 -08- 2003

ATT ATT ATT ATT ATT AND ATT ATT AND ATT AND

3

If applicable under Article 10 Rules relating to fees, a separate communication from the Receiving Section on the refund of the search fee will be sent later.

OK majorde pora

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number EP 03 29 0323

of relevant pas				
US 2002/109075 A1 (15 August 2002 (200 * paragraph '0172!	ONO AKIHIKO)		H04L25/02	
US 5 154 066 A (CHC	I DONG-KGOO)	1,8,9		
10 January 2001 (20	01-01-10)	1-10		
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.CI.7 H04L H03K	
·				
			Examiner	
			kourlis, S	
ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS licularly relevant if taken alone licularly relevant if combined with another ument of the same category	T : theory or p E : earlier pate after the filt her D : document L : document	T: theory or principle underlying the in E: earlier patent document, but publis after the filing date D: document cited in the application L: document cited for other reasons		
	US 5 154 066 A (CHO 13 October 1992 (19 * abstract * * figure 3 * EP 1 067 691 A (CIT 10 January 2001 (20 * the whole document The present search report has Place of search THE HAGUE ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS icularly relevant if taken alone icularly relevant if combined with anote	US 5 154 066 A (CHOI DONG-KG00) 13 October 1992 (1992-10-13) * abstract * * figure 3 * EP 1 067 691 A (CIT ALCATEL) 10 January 2001 (2001-01-10) * the whole document * The present search report has been drawn up for all claims Place of search THE HAGUE ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS icularly relevant if taken alone icularly relevant if tombined with another ment of the same category inokogical background	US 5 154 066 A (CHOI DONG-KG00) 13 October 1992 (1992-10-13) * abstract * * figure 3 * EP 1 067 691 A (CIT ALCATEL) 10 January 2001 (2001-01-10) * the whole document * The present search report has been drawn up for all claims Place of search Place of search Date of completion of the search THE HAGUE ATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS (cularly relevant if taken alone (cularly relevant if combined with another ment of the same category nological background A: member of the same patent lamily A: member of the same patent lamily A: member of the same patent lamily A: member of the same patent lamily	

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

16-07-2003

	Patent document cited in search repo	rt	Publication date		Patent fam member(Publication date
US	2002109075	A1	15-08-2002	JP CN	2002232271 1369967	• •	16-08-2002 18-09-2002
US	5154066	Α	13-10-1992	JP	4227452	Α	17-08-1992
EP	1067691	A	10-01-2001	EP AU CA JP TW US	1067691 3935100 2311100 2001053556 445701 6288576	A A1 A B	10-01-2001 04-01-2001 30-12-2000 23-02-2001 11-07-2001 11-09-2001

Extended European Search Report

This application is covered by the extended European search report pilot project at present running within the European Patent Office, applied to all European patent applications filed as first filing and searched on or after 01.07.03. Under this project the EPO issues together with the search report an opinion on whether the application and the invention to which it relates meet the requirements of the EPC. This non-binding opinion is issued free of charge as a service. This opinion may be used as the basis for an informed decision as to whether it is desired to pursue the application further or not.

For further details of this pilot project, the applicant's attention is directed to the Official Journal edition 5/2003. If any further immediate questions or comments arise the EPO Customer Services: +31-70-340 4500 or +49-89-2399 2828 can be contacted.

The examination has revealed that the application or the invention to which it relates appear **not** to meet the requirements of the Convention (see comments on enclosed Form 2906).

If the applicant wishes to continue with this application the examination fee must be paid. Where appropriate amendments can be filed to address the objections raised in the opinion, thus shortening the overall procedure. If no amendments are filed, the opinion will be re-issued as the first official communication under Article 96(2) and Rule 51(2) EPC.

If the examination fee has already been paid and the right to the communication under Article 96(1) EPC has been waived for this application, the first official communication under Article 96(2) and Rule 51(2) EPC will be issued promptly.



Blatt Sheet Feuille

1

Anmelde-Nr.:

Application No.: 03 290 323.9

Demande n°.

The examination is being carried out on the following application documents:

Text for the Contracting States:

AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LU MC NL PT SE SI SK TR LI

Description, pages:

1-9

as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-10

as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1/4-4/4

as originally filed

The following documents (D1-D3) are referred to in this communication; the numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

D1: US 2002/109075 A1 (ONO AKIHIKO) 15 August 2002 (2002-08-15)
D2: US-A-5 154 066 (CHOI DONG-KGOO) 13 October 1992 (1992-10-13)

D3: EP-A-1 067 691 (CIT ALCATEL) 10 January 2001 (2001-01-10)

1. Claim 1 is not supported by the description as required by Article 84 EPC, as its scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings. The reasons therefor are explained below.

It is clear from the description (e.g. pages 1-2) that the present application is related to a device according to the Low Voltage Differential Signalling method. According to the description (paragraph [0005]), the present application relates to a modification of a prior art device (D3) which becomes necessary when supply voltages lower that what was defined in the original LVDS standard are used (i.e. about 1V instead of 2.5V).

9))

Blatt Sheet Feuille

2

Anmeloe-Nr.: Application No.: 03 290 323.9

Demande nº:

Both the present application and the prior art make use of **two** differential pairs (pre-amplifiers), as shown in figures 1 and 2 of the present application. However, claim 1 does not include this feature; it appears that this broadening of the scope of the claim is not justified by the extent of the description and drawings and the contribution to the art (see Guidelines C-III-6.1 and 6.2)

Therefore, this feature should be included in independent claim 1.

Moreover, according to the description (paragraph [0020]) the device of the present application differs from that of the prior art in that the comparator block is no longer present, but is replaced by an offset-reducing block which forces its sole input voltage, being the output voltage of both output terminals of both amplifiers coupled together, to a fixed threshold. These features (which are also recited in claim 2) are essential to the performance of the invention, or, in other words, are necessary for the solution of the problem to which the invention relates.

In addition, the connection of the inputs to the two amplifiers is different than the one of the prior art (see paragraph [0021]), and it is clear from the description that this is not an optional feature, but a feature which is also essential to the performance of the invention.

Since independent claim 1 does not contain these features it does not meet the requirement following from Article 84 EPC taken in combination with Rules 29(1) and (3) EPC that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention (see Guidelines C-III-4.3(ii)).

In order to overcome this objection, the features of claims 2 and 3 should be added to claim 1.

2. The present application does not meet the requirements of Article 52(1) EPC, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 6, 8 and 10 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 56 EPC.

Differential amplifiers, offset-reducing circuits, buffering blocks and equalisation means are all wel-known in the art. Also, D1 discloses a differential amplifier followed by an offset-reducing circuit (see passages cited in the search report) and D2 discloses a device (see figure 2) which comprises a differential amplifier

9))

Blatt Sheet Feuille

3

Anmelde-Nr.:
Application No.: 03 290 323.9

(ref. 166), means for reducing offset voltages (ref. 164) and also amplification and pulse shaping means (i.e. a buffering block) (ref. 168).

The subject-matter of claim 1 consists merely in the juxtaposition or association of known devices functioning in their normal way and not producing any non-obvious working inter-relationship (See Guidelines, C-IV-Annex-2.1).

Dependent claims 6, 8 and 10 do not appear to contain any additional features which, in combination with the features of claim 1, meet the requirements of the EPC with respect to inventive step.

However, it appears that a new independent claim which would include the features of claims 2 and 3 as suggested above, showing the interconnection between the two differential pre-amplifiers and the offset-reducing block, would provide combined features which would mutually support each other in their effects to such an extent that a new technical result is achieved.

The applicant is requested to file new claims which take account of the above comments.

The following points should also be taken into account:

- 3.1 An independent claim should be filed taking account of Rule 29(1) EPC. Those features known *in combination* from the prior art (document D3) should be placed in the preamble (Rule 29(1)(a) EPC) and the remaining features should be included in the characterising part (Rule 29(1)(b) EPC). If, however, the applicant is of the opinion that the two-part form would be inappropriate, then reasons therefor should be provided in the letter of reply.
- 3.2 When filing amended claims the applicant should at the same time bring the description into conformity with the amended claims. Care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion and any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Article 123(2) EPC).
- 3.3 The applicant is kindly requested to identify all the amendments carried out and to



Communication/Minutes (Annex)

Notification/Procès-verbal (Annexe)

Blatt Sheet Feuille

Anmelde-Nr.:

Application No.: 03 290 323.9

indicate the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based, so that it can be examined whether the requirements of Article 123(2) EPC are met. These indications could be submitted in handwritten form on a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed. This information is greatly appreciated by the examining division and it helps to expedite the procedure.